

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIZED BIATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 1.0. Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,106 12/15/2003		Gerald L. Everett	200315774-1	5509	
· 22879	7590 05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
	PACKARD COMPANY	CHOI, WOO H			
	400, 3404 E. HARMONY UAL PROPERTY ADMIN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	INS, CO 80527-2400		2189		
7			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summans		7	Application No. Applicant(s)						
			10/737,106		EVERETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Noo H. Choi		2189				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	ars on the cover she	et with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMM a). In no event, however, m apply and will expire SIX (6 tuse the application to beco	UNICATION nay a reply be time MONTHS from the me ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 Dec	ember 2003.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	, _								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requiremen	t.					
٠,٣	are sau, est a result								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen 1) Notice 2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		view Summary (er No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) X Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			ce of Informal Pa	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 2 recites the limitation "said system call requesting said memory allocation".

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected for containing deficiency of the parent claim as discussed above.
- 4. Claim 13 contains the trademark/trade name Intel Itanium®. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. For the purposes of this examination, the limitation "Intel Itanium® processor" will be interpreted as "a processor".

Application/Control Number: 10/737,106 Page 3

Art Unit: 2189

5. Claim 18 recites the limitation "said intercepting". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 21 - 25 are rejected for containing deficiency of the parent claim as discussed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1 3, 8 14, 18 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cepulis et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0123092, hereinafter "Cepulis").
- 8. With respect to claims 1-4, 8-14 and 18-22, Cepulis discloses a computer implemented method for establishing a run-time data area comprising:

relocating a firmware module from a read-only memory location to a writeable memory location during a system boot-up operation;

reserving a portion of said writeable memory location comprising a memory allocation for said firmware module and an additional memory allocation; and

designating said additional memory allocation as said run-time data area, wherein said run-time data area is created without requiring prior knowledge of system resource allocation (page 3, paragraph 19 and page 4, paragraph 21, Cepulis discloses that BIOS ROM is shadowed, i.e., relocated to writable area, and that PAL and SAL may implement spin locks; spin lock is run-time data that requires memory and allocation of this memory does not require prior knowledge of system resource).

- 9. With respect to claims 3, 4, 8 14, see figures 1 and 3, allocating shadow to load PAL and SAL routines require knowledge of sizes of routines. Likewise, the spin lock variable storage size must be known for proper reading and writing of the variable.
- 10. With respect to claim 21, see figure 3.
- 11. Claims 1, 8, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malek et al. (US Patent No. 6,611,912, hereinafter "Malek").

With respect to claim 1, 8 and 18, Malek discloses a method for creating a system independent run-time data storage area comprising:

intercepting a system call for determining the size of a system firmware feature during a system boot-up operation (figure 4. 403);

returning a response to said system call conveying a request for a portion of a writeable memory location (403, 404); and

Application/Control Number: 10/737,106 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

reserving a portion of said writeable memory location, wherein a memory allocation is designated as said run-time data area, wherein said run-time data area is created without requiring prior knowledge of system resource allocation (see figure 2, System RAM and figure 3 system memory 304, areas other that Add-on ROM are available for run-time data and run-time programs, see also 301, 302, and 406, these areas contain configuration data required at run-time – prior knowledge of system resource allocation such as allocation of device drivers, for example, are not required at this point as these resources are loaded later in the operating system boot process)

12. With respect to claims 9 and 10, see figure 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 5-7, 15-17 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malek in view of Fish (US Patent No. 6,199,159).

Malek discloses all of the limitations of the parent claims as discussed above. However, Malek does not specifically disclose that the firmware module operates in real mode and virtual

Application/Control Number: 10/737,106 Page 6

Art Unit: 2189

mode. On the other hand, Fish discloses a computer system that operates in real mode and

virtual mode (Fish, figure 4).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Woo H. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4179. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Woo H. Choi April 25, 2006